1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

DEADLINE - 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRISTIAN J. HEBERT,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:23-cr-143

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Christian J. Herbert's motion to continue the trial date and pretrial motions deadline. Dkt. No. 21.

On December 1, 2023, Defendant requested a 60-day continuance of the January 8, 2024, trial date and an extension of the pretrial motions deadline. *Id.* Defendant stated in his motion that the Government objects to a trial continuance, but the Government has not filed a formal response to the motion. See generally Dkt. As a result, the Court considers the motion to be unopposed. See W.D. Wash. Local. Crim. R. 12(b)(2) (a party opposing a motion must file a brief opposing the motion); W.D. Wash. Local Crim. R. 12(b)(4) (failure to file an opposition as required by the local rules may be considered an admission that any opposition lacks merit). ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS

Having considered Defendant's motion and the relevant record, the Court FINDS as follows:

- (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation due to counsel's need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);
- (b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i);
- (c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses;
- (d) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A);
- (e) the additional time requested between the current trial date of

  January 8, 2024, and the new trial date is necessary to provide counsel for
  the defendant the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial,

  considering counsel's schedule and all of the facts set forth above;
- (f) the period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Defendant's unopposed motion to continue trial. Dkt. No. 21. It is therefore ORDERED that the trial date in this matter will be continued to a date up to and including April 1, 2024. See Dkt. No. 23. The parties are directed to coordinate with the Courtroom Deputy, Mr. Cogswell, to set a new trial date. The existing pretrial motions deadline is STRICKEN and a new deadline will be set for six weeks before the new trial date. The Court also STRIKES Defendant's separately filed Motion for an Extension of Time as MOOT. Dkt. No. 24.

Dated this 11th day of December 2023.

Jamal N. Whitehead United States District Judge

and W